

Inspired by the SA Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan 5A Tomorrow



### **2024 SCORING RUBRIC**

#### Scores available to judges for each rubric line item.

Rubric column	Excellent	between	Good	between	Fair or poor
Score	5	4	3	2	1

#### **RUBRIC: RESEARCH PITCH DECK**

Each line carries equal weight.

	Excellent	Good	Fair or Poor
Problem	<ul> <li>LOCALIZED to San Antonio!</li> <li>Clear</li> <li>Quantified (number of people affected, dollar impact, etc., as appropriate)</li> <li>Stated independent of solution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Localized to San Antonio</li> <li>Mostly clear</li> <li>Some measurement of problem is provided</li> <li>Stated independent of solution</li> </ul>	Not clear, or states the solution as the problem ("begs the solution")
Solution	<ul><li>Clear</li><li>Concise</li><li>No more technical that absolutely necessary</li></ul>	<ul><li>Somewhat clear</li><li>Could be more concise or less technical</li></ul>	Not clear what is being proposed as the solution
How it Works	<ul><li>Clear</li><li>Concise</li><li>Audience could now explain to someone else</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Somewhat clear</li> <li>Could be more concise</li> <li>Audience might be able to share with others</li> </ul>	Not clear how the solution works to solve the problem
Technical analysis	<ul> <li>Detailed</li> <li>Considers all parts of the system surrounding the product/service/strategy</li> <li>Convinces audience it will work</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Somewhat detailed</li> <li>Partially considers surrounding system</li> <li>Might convince the audience</li> </ul>	Little or no light shed on whether solution will work



Inspired by the SA Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan 59 10 TOMORROW



	Excellent	Good	Fair or Poor
Market analysis	<ul> <li>Clear evidence of speaking with potential customers, end users, and other stakeholders</li> <li>Detailed market information resulting from those conversations—things you only learn by talking to people in the market</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some evidence of speaking with potential customers, end users, and other stakeholders</li> <li>Useful information resulting from those conversations, perhaps lacking detail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Little evidence of engaging with the market</li> <li>Generic/blanket statements that are not compelling</li> </ul>
OVERALL: Progress made	<ul> <li>Significant information has been collected about technical feasibility and market interest.</li> <li>Submission was on time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some information has been collected</li> <li>Submission was on time or close</li> </ul>	Little or no information has been collected, or submission was more than three days late
OVERALL: Level of detail	Information is detailed and when applicable, quantified	Some information is detailed, other is general or not quantified when it should be	Information is very general

#### **FINAL PITCH DECK AND PRESENTATION**

### **Overall Scoring Formula**

Localized to San Antonio!	10%	
Mentorship participation	10%	
Each slide (Problem, Solution,)	40% (for all slides)	
Pitch deck overall / story	10%	
Verbal presentation	10%	
Broad team participation	10%	
Creative effort	10%	



Inspired by the SA Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan SA (19) TOMORROW



### **RUBRIC: Slides (40% of total)**

	Excellent	Good	Fair or Poor
Team Introduction	<ul> <li>All members named</li> <li>Each person's specific contribution is clear</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All members named</li> <li>Each person's         contribution is covered,         but the details are less         than clear</li> </ul>	Team members were not introduced, and/or it's not clear what role each member played
Problem	<ul> <li>Clear</li> <li>Quantified (number of people affected, dollar impact, etc., as appropriate)</li> <li>Stated independent of solution</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mostly clear</li> <li>Some measurement of problem is provided</li> <li>Stated independent of solution</li> </ul>	Not clear, or states the solution as the problem ("begs the solution")
Solution	<ul> <li>Clear</li> <li>Concise</li> <li>No more technical that absolutely necessary</li> </ul>	Somewhat clear     Could be more concise or less technical	Not clear what is being proposed as the solution
How it Works	<ul> <li>Clear</li> <li>Concise</li> <li>Audience could now explain to someone else</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Somewhat clear</li> <li>Could be more concise</li> <li>Audience might be able to share with others</li> </ul>	Not clear how the solution works to solve the problem
Technical analysis	<ul> <li>Detailed</li> <li>Considers all parts of the system surrounding the product/service/strategy</li> <li>Convinces audience it will work</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Somewhat detailed</li> <li>Partially considers surrounding system</li> <li>Might convince the audience</li> </ul>	Little or no light shed on whether solution will work
Market analysis	<ul> <li>Clear evidence of speaking with potential customers, end users, and other stakeholders</li> <li>Detailed market information resulting from those conversations—things you only learn by talking to people in the market</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some evidence of speaking with potential customers, end users, and other stakeholders</li> <li>Useful information resulting from those conversations, perhaps lacking detail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Little evidence of engaging with the market</li> <li>Generic/blanket statements that are not compelling</li> </ul>



Inspired by the SA Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan SA Tomorrow



	Excellent	Good	Fair or Poor
Next steps	<ul> <li>Clear go-forward recommendation</li> <li>Accompanied by specific steps</li> <li>Names who could carry the idea forward (person, company, city department, etc.—by name!)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Go-forward recommendation</li> <li>Some next steps provided</li> <li>Some idea who could carry project forward</li> </ul>	No clear recommendation, or very vague statement of next steps

### **RUBRIC: Other rubric items (60% overall)**

	Excellent	Good	Fair or Poor
Localized to San Antonio! 10%	<ul> <li>A local San Antonio instance of a problem or issue consistent with the year's theme was clearly identified and articulated.</li> </ul>	A San Antonio     connection to the     problem or issue was     addressed in the team's     presentation.	No San Antonio connection was proactively established.
Mentorship participation 10%	<ul> <li>Proactively engaged with mentors</li> <li>Direct communication of status and challenges</li> <li>Follow-up on mentor comments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Engagement with mentors</li> <li>Good discussion of project</li> <li>Limited follow-up on mentor comments</li> </ul>	Little or disorganized engagement with mentors
Pitch deck overall / story 10%	<ul> <li>Visually clean</li> <li>Spelling and grammar clean</li> <li>Tells a story across all slides</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mostly clean in look, spelling, grammar</li> <li>Somewhat organized as a story</li> </ul>	Not visually clean, multiple spelling or grammar errors, not logically organized
Verbal presentation 10%	<ul> <li>Thoughts concise and clear</li> <li>Good voice projection</li> <li>Steady posture</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Somewhat clear</li><li>Adequate projection</li><li>Adequate posture</li></ul>	Consistently poor communication of thoughts, voice projection, or posture
Broad team member participation 10%	All members contributed     All members' roles clear	<ul><li>Most members contributed</li><li>Roles generally clear</li></ul>	A limited number of team members did the vast majority of the work



Inspired by the SA Tomorrow Comprehensive Plan 5A Tomorrow



	Excellent	Good	Fair or Poor
Creative effort 10%	<ul> <li>Clear presence of creative thought around identified challenges, and translation into tangible outcomes</li> <li>And/or, obvious and effective creative approach to presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Indication of creative thought with some impacts</li> <li>And/or, a somewhat creative presentation</li> </ul>	Limited or no evidence of creativity